

Banjultarifing. 20% ichest Otheruibar Lessthan 60 years and Yes, first dose only
Yes, both doses
No
Don't know/Not sure







EMPLOYMENT

- Job losses (defined as individuals who were working before the beginning of the pandemic in March 2020, but subsequently stopped working), which increased to 21% in June 2021 (from 17% in December 2020) declined by more than 10 percentage points to 10% in August 2021.
- The significant decline in job losses is linked to the recovery of seasonal agricultural activity favoring the poorest and rural households who 15 registered the largest decline in job loss. Between June and August 2021, job losses for the poorest and rural households declined from 32% to 8%; and from 26% to 8% respectively; while richer and urban households registered much smaller declines. The role of seasonality in labor market improvements is also evident in the reasons for job losses- the share of households who cited seasonality as a reason for job loss declined from 51% in June 2021 to 12% in August 2021, and the share of people working in the agricultural sector doubled during the same period from 23% in June 2021 to 47% in August 2021.





June 2021* August 2021*

* In June and August 2021, the employment section was administered to all members over 7 years instead of only heads. Graph 3: People working before March 2020 but not working in June and August 2021 (%)

Republic of The Gambia | COVID-19 IMPACT ON HOUSEHEHOLD MONITORING **EDUCATION & EEFECTS OF COVID-19 ON CHILDREN**

In almost all households (99%) with school-age children, all children (irrespective of gender) returned to school in the previous academic year. Of those who did not return, more than half planned to enroll as soon as possible. During previous school term, the protection of children from infection with the virus has been fairly well handled by households and school authorities. More than 87% of households report having provided children with protective masks for outdoor travel, and 57% report having advised children to maintain a physical distance as much as possible. However, 12% of households have not taken any measures to prevent their children from being infected. As for the schools, according to the households, they have concentrated their efforts in the implementation of hygiene measures, notably hand washing (82%), in the reduction of class sizes to reduce the risks of contamination (62%) and, to a lesser extent, in the implementation of awareness campaigns for the students on the increase of cases of COVID-19 infection (43%).



Graph 4: Steps taken by Households during the previous term to protect children (%)



- When asked about the impact of the COVID-19 crisis on children, the majority of households (81%) agree that the closure of educational institutions has negatively affected children's learning. In this regard, nearly two out of three households (66%) believe that the quality of education during the pandemic compared to the pre-COVID-19 period has worsened or even become much worse (20%). In addition, nearly half of households (48%) feel that movement restrictions are affecting children psychologically and their development.
- Faced with the impacts of COVID-19 on children, more than eight out of ten households (82%) declare they have not received any assistance for children. Of the households who received some form of assistance, most of it came from family, relatives or friends. Only 5% and 3% of households respectively received financial and food assistance from non-governmental organizations or other institutions. The result is a rather mixed opinion among households about the gaps in the policy response to mitigate the negative impact of COVID-19 on children. Nearly half (47%) of households note, for example, in making up for loss contact hours in schools ensuring that children cover their syllabus. In addition, households cited low awareness about COVID-19 among children (41%), inadequate social assistance for children- financial support, food aid (40%), inadequate implementation of alternative learning methods (radio and/or TV classes) (31%), or inadequate provision of masks and sanitizers in schools(30%).
- In the absence of support during the pandemic, the majority of households feel that, given the impact of the crisis on children, they would need the provision of masks and disinfectants (50%), financial assistance (47%), and educational support to make up for lots of time in contact with children (45%).









Since the beginning of the COVID-19 crisis in March 2020, nearly nine out of ten households say they are financially affected, of which 64% are very severely affected. Households belonging to the poorest 20% of the wealth distribution are even more affected, with up to 70% of them feeling very severely affected. The disparities are less pronounced across space.

- In August 2021, over 60% of remittance receiving households reported a decline in 40% remittances received during the pandemic. Between February and August 2021 the share of households who reported an improvement increased from 2.9% to 4.1%; and the share who reported a decline increased from 54.3% to 63.1%. While transfers through formal channels remain high- Western Union (47%), use of informal channels such as through friends and family increased by almost 15 percentage points.
- Social assistance continues to be provided mainly by government and dominated by verified distribution- with a clicht increase. food distribution- with a slight increase in recipients of cash transfer in August compared to February 2021. About 67% of households received some type of assistance (financial, food, or other) in August. Social assistance is directed more towards the poorest and households in rural areas as indicated by a higher share of households receiving both food and cash transfers. 75% of recipients also consider food aid to be the most useful type of assistance. d based on an asset index type of wealth distribution



Graph 8: Social Assistance received by households in (%)



